
Ana M. McCann

Special Counsel

AMMcCann@mdwgcg.com

Wilmington – 302.552.4322



As a member of the Casualty Department, Ana focuses on handling asbestos, lemon law/breach of warranty and general liability cases. She has drafted and argued numerous dispositive motions, defended clients at arbitration hearings, deposed fact and expert witnesses, and negotiated advantageous settlements on behalf of her clients.

After graduating from Seton Hall University in 1996, Ana was employed as a client services specialist for a health insurance company in Piscataway, New Jersey. In 1999, she enrolled in Widener University School of Law, where she received her *juris doctor* in 2002.

Ana served as a judicial clerk in the Superior Court of New Jersey in 2002-2003. During her clerkship, she served as a mediator for the court, where she mediated landlord/tenant disputes, contract disputes and accident claims.

Ana joined Marshall Dennehey in 2003 in the firm's Wilmington, Delaware, office, and is licensed to practice in Delaware, New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Education

- Widener University Delaware Law School (J.D., 2002)
- Seton Hall University (B.A., 1996)

Admissions

- Delaware, 2003
- New Jersey, 2003
- U.S. District Court District of Delaware, 2010
- Pennsylvania, 2025

Practices

- Asbestos & Mass Tort Litigation
- General Liability

Honors & Awards

- Certificate of Achievement - Seminar: HIV/AIDS & The Law

Associations & Memberships

- Delaware State Bar Association

Published Works

- "Delaware Superior Court Finds That No Duty Extends To Spouse/Household Members Of Employees Exposed To Asbestos," *Defense Digest*, March 2008
 - "Delaware's Ban On Court Reporter Contracts," *Defense Digest*, September 2004
-

Results

Summary Judgment Granted in Asbestos Case

We were granted summary judgment on behalf of a national truck manufacturer in an asbestos case where the plaintiff claimed his mesothelioma diagnosis was the result of his work on his employer's trucks. The plaintiff claimed he developed mesothelioma as a result of his alleged exposure to asbestos while working on the trucks and products manufactured by the other defendants. The plaintiff had worked and resided the majority of his life in Mississippi; therefore, Mississippi law was applicable. In granting summary judgment, the court found that any alleged exposure to the manufacturer's products was de minimis in relation to his other alleged asbestos exposures. The court also found that under the "bare metal" defense, the manufacturer was not liable for third-party manufacturers' parts, which they did not manufacture or supply, used in conjunction with their trucks.

Thought Leadership

December 1, 2025

Delaware Supreme Court's Reversal of Trial Court Decision on Zantac Expert Testimony May Carve a Path for Heightened Scrutiny of Experts in Asbestos Litigation