

Angie M. Colorado

Associate

AMColorado@mdwccg.com

Fort Lauderdale – 954.905.3800



As an associate in the Casualty Department, Angie represents a diverse range of clients in civil litigation, focusing on premises liability, auto liability, and other general liability matters.

Angie graduated early from Florida Atlantic University with a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science. During her undergraduate career, Angie wrote her honors thesis titled: Exploring the Effects of Prosecutorial Discretion on Sentencing. She graduated with her *juris doctor* from Nova Southeastern University Shepard Broad College of Law. During her law school career, she served as a bilingual article editor for the International Law Students' Association Journal of International and Comparative Law. She wrote and published an article in ILSA's Volume 28 Issue titled: A Comparative & International Study of Laws & Regulations Protecting Against Sexual Crimes in the United States, Colombia, and Norway: Sexual Violence in the Military and Armed Forces. As an intern with Florida Legal Aid, Angie provided guidance and legal assistance to victims of domestic violence. Further, she gained valuable experience as an intern with the Broward County Public Defender's Office. She also participated in the Children and Families Law clinic as a certified legal intern where she offered representation in various family law matters with the supervision of the clinic director.

Prior to joining the firm, Angie represented both plaintiffs and defendants in landlord-tenant, construction defect, commercial, and municipal matters.

Outside of the practice of law, Angie enjoys spending time with her family.

Education

- Nova Southeastern University Shepard Broad College of Law (J.D., *cum laude*, 2023)
- Florida Atlantic University (B.A., *magna cum laude*, 2020)

Practices

- Premises & Retail Liability
- Automobile Liability
- General Liability

Admissions

- Florida, 2023
- U.S. District Court Southern District of Florida, 2025
- U.S. District Court Middle District of Florida, 2025

Languages

- Spanish (Fluent)

Associations & Memberships

- Florida State Bar Association, Young Lawyers Division

Significant Representative Matters

- Obtained summary judgment in a foodborne-illness wrongful death case. The plaintiff brought a wrongful death action against multiple parties, including the seafood supplier, asserting that the Decedent died after consuming raw oysters containing vibrio vulnificus. Our attorneys represented the supplier and argued that there was no evidence the oysters were defective when they left the supplier's control. Their expert provided an affidavit outlining the applicable harvesting, processing, and transportation standards and confirmed that the supplier met all relevant duties. Faced with this record, plaintiff's counsel ultimately conceded that the evidence did not support a claim against the supplier. The Court granted summary judgment in the supplier's favor. The case continues against the remaining defendants, who face multimillion-dollar demands.

Results

Summary Judgment Secured in a Foodborne Illness Wrongful Death Matter

We won summary judgment in a foodborne illness wrongful death case. The plaintiff filed a wrongful death action against multiple parties, including the seafood supplier, distributors, transporters and the restaurant that served the decedent. The plaintiff alleged the decedent died as a result of eating raw oysters that contained vibrio vulnificus. We represented the supplier and argued there was no evidence the oysters were defective when they left the supplier's hands. An expert was retained to support our motion for summary judgment. The expert prepared an affidavit citing the applicable duties pertaining to the harvesting, processing, and transportation of the oysters and stated the supplier did not breach any of the applicable duties. Utilizing calculated pressure tactics in a long-term strategy execution, plaintiff's counsel eventually conceded that the record evidence did not support a finding that the supplier breached its duties, resulting in the court granting summary judgment. The case remains ongoing with multimillion dollar demands against the remaining defendants.

Thought Leadership

December 1, 2025

Limitations to Expert Testimony